# STAFF SELECTION COMMISSION 

Combined Higher Secondary Level (10+2) Examination, 2013 for recruitment of Data Entry Operator and Lower Division Clerks - Declaration of final result $* * * * * * * * * *$

The Staff Selection Commission conducted Combined Higher Secondary Level (10+2) Examination, 2013 for recruitment of Data Entry Operator and Lower Division Clerks on $\underline{20.10 .2013}, 27.10 .2013 \& 10.11 .2013$ and also re-examination on 13.01.2014. The result of written examination was declared on 14.03.2014 and 16.4.2014.
2. The details of the candidates declared qualified/appeared in the skill test are as under.

## Data Entry Operator (Post preferences D):

| 1. | Total Candidates qualified for DEST | 9964 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2. | No. of candidates who appeared in DEST | $\mathbf{7 3 9 6}$ |
| 3. | Candidates qualified in Skill test as per criterion <br> fixed by the Commission (upto 5 \% mistakes for all <br> categories) | 4670 |

## Lower Division Clerk (Post preference L):

| 1. | Total Candidates qualified for Typing Test | 14,379 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2. | No. of candidates who appeared in Typing Test | 9,887 |
| 3. | Candidates qualified in Skill test as per criterion <br> fixed by the Commission (upto 5\% mistakes for <br> UR/OBC/SC/OH/VH candidates and upto 7\% mistakes <br> for ST/ HH/ Ex.S candidates) | 4,652 |
| 4. | No. of candidates exempted from appearing in <br> Typing Test | 57 |
| 5. | Total No. of candidates qualified in/exempted from <br> Typing Test | 4,709 |

3. While allocating posts of DEO/ LDC and Departments under the DEO/LDC posts to the qualified candidates, merit-cum-order of preference criteria has been adopted. Wherever detailed Departments preference for DEO and LDC were available but the post option (i.e. L, D, E or O) was blank, such candidates were first considered for the post of DEO and then for the post of LDC. Candidates who have not exercised preference for any Department have not been considered for the concerned Department.
4. Category wise break-up of the candidates selected for DEO (Preference D), LDC (Preference L) is given below.:-

DEO

|  | SC | ST | OBC | OH | HH | VH | EXS | UR | TOTAL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vacancies | 150 | 75 | 274 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 100 | 517 | 1016 |
| Candidates | 150 | 75 | 274 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 100 | $517^{*}$ | 1016 |
| Unfilled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

* Include 21 OBC candidates selected against UR vacancies.
(Note : Candidates selected in OH category - 1 OBC and 9 UR candidates, HH category -6 OBC and 4 UR candidates, VH category - 2 OBC, 2 SC and 6 UR candidates, Ex. Servicemen category - 25 OBC and 75 UR candidates)


## $\underline{\text { LDC }}$

|  | SC | ST | OBC | OH | HH | VH | EXS | UR | TOTAL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vacancies | 253 | 188 | 563 | 19 | 10 | 18 | 73 | 1014 | 2018 |
| Candidates <br> recommended | 253 | 188 | 563 | 19 | 10 | 18 | 34 | $1014^{*}$ | 2018 |
| Unfilled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 |

* Include 21 OBC candidates selected against UR vacancies
(Note : Candidates selected in OH category - 7 OBC and 12 UR candidates, HH category5 UR, 3 OBC and 2 SC candidates, VH category - $6 \mathrm{OBC}, 11 \mathrm{UR}$ and 1 SC candidates, Ex. Servicemen category - 2 SC, 4 OBC and 28 UR candidates)
(Note 1: Category, Preferences for Department/Posts of the candidates were taken from the Online verification data.
Note 2: No vacancies have been reported for Preference E (DEO) and Preference O (Others).

RESOLUTION OF TIE CASES (Ties cases will be resolved by applying one after another, as applicable till the Tie is resolved).
(i) Total marks in the written examination.
(ii) Date of Birth, with older candidate placed higher.
(iii) Alphabetical order in which the first names of the candidates appear.
5. Number of vacancies available, Candidates recommended and marks obtained by the last selected candidates for the post of DEO and LDC Preference-wise and Department-wise is given below. Rows corresponding to post/ category with nil reported vacancies have not been included in the tables. If all the reported vacancies against a category ( $9-\mathrm{UR}$, 1-SC, 2-ST, $6-\mathrm{OBC}$ ) have been filled by candidates selected against horizontal categories ( $3-\mathrm{ExS}, 4-\mathrm{OH}, 5-\mathrm{HH}, 7-\mathrm{VH}$ ), Lowest marks are shown against the horizontal category only and corresponding fields against the vertical categories are 0 :-

## I. Data Entry Operator - Select List

| Post | Department | Category | Vacancies | Filled | Lowest <br> Marks |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| D | A | 1 | 150 | 150 | 115.75 |
| D | A | 2 | 75 | 75 | 103.75 |
| D | A | 3 | 100 | 100 | 79.5 |
| D | A | 4 | 10 | 10 | 125.5 |
| D | A | 5 | 10 | 10 | 73.75 |
| D | A | 6 | 270 | 270 | 130.75 |
| D | A | 7 | 10 | 10 | 109 |
| D | A | 9 | 505 | 505 | 142.25 |
| D | B | 6 | 4 | 4 | 148.25 |
| D | B | 9 | 12 | 12 | 151.25 |

II. LDC - Select List

| Post | Department | Category | Vacancies | Filled | Lowest <br> Marks |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| L | A | 1 | 15 | 15 | 112.25 |
| L | A | 3 | 18 | 5 | 82 |
| L | A | 4 | 2 | 2 | 126.5 |
| L | A | 5 | 2 | 2 | 76.5 |
| L | A | 6 | 38 | 38 | 127.75 |
| L | A | 7 | 1 | 1 | 113.5 |
| L | A | 9 | 125 | 125 | 138 |
| L | B | 9 | 3 | 3 | 148.75 |
| L | C | 2 | 1 | 1 | 115.5 |
| L | C | 6 | 2 | 2 | 147.75 |
| L | C | 9 | 2 | 2 | 155.5 |
| L | D | 1 | 3 | 3 | 112.75 |
| L | D | 2 | 2 | 2 | 103.5 |
| L | D | 4 | 1 | 1 | 129.75 |
| L | D | 6 | 5 | 5 | 130 |
| L | D | 9 | 8 | 8 | 143.5 |
| L | E | 1 | 20 | 20 | 115.5 |
| L | E | 2 | 6 | 6 | 100 |
| L | E | 4 | 2 | 2 | 145 |
| L | E | 6 | 13 | 13 | 138.25 |
| L | E | 9 | 11 | 11 | 151 |
| L | F | 1 | 5 | 5 | 114.5 |
| L | F | 2 | 1 | 1 | 102.5 |
| L | F | 3 | 6 | 4 | 85 |
| L | F | 4 | 2 | 2 | 127.5 |
| L | F | 5 | 1 | 1 | 85 |
| L | F | 6 | 10 | 10 | 137 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


| L | F | 9 | 10 | 10 | 150.25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L | G | 1 | 2 | 2 | 113.5 |
| L | G | 2 | 1 | 1 | 99.5 |
| L | G | 6 | 2 | 2 | 131.75 |
| L | G | 9 | 1 | 1 | 149.5 |
| L | H | 2 | 1 | 1 | 106.5 |
| L | H | 6 | 4 | 4 | 140.5 |
| L | H | 9 | 2 | 2 | 152 |
| L | I | 1 | 14 | 14 | 112.25 |
| L | I | 2 | 11 | 11 | 99 |
| L | I | 4 | 1 | 1 | 126.25 |
| L | I | 6 | 24 | 24 | 129.25 |
| L | I | 9 | 59 | 59 | 137.5 |
| L | J | 1 | 10 | 10 | 111 |
| L | J | 2 | 23 | 23 | 95.75 |
| L | J | 3 | 23 | 9 | 82 |
| L | J | 4 | 3 | 3 | 123.25 |
| L | J | 5 | 1 | 1 | 89 |
| L | J | 6 | 32 | 32 | 127.25 |
| L | J | 9 | 75 | 75 | 136.5 |
| L | K | 1 | 2 | 2 | 148.25 |
| L | K | 2 | 1 | 1 | 114.25 |
| L | K | 6 | 6 | 6 | 147 |
| L | K | 9 | 13 | 13 | 151.75 |
| L | L | 1 | 3 | 3 | 112.75 |
| L | L | 2 | 1 | 1 | 98.5 |
| L | L | 3 | 1 | 1 | 110 |
| L | L | 6 | 4 | 4 | 127.75 |
| L | L | 9 | 9 | 9 | 137.75 |
| L | M | 1 | 32 | 32 | 108 |
| L | M | 2 | 14 | 14 | 95.25 |
| L | M | 6 | 46 | 46 | 126.25 |
| L | M | 7 | 12 | 12 | 100.5 |
| L | M | 9 | 119 | 119 | 135 |
| L | N | 1 | 1 | 1 | 144 |
| L | N | 6 | 2 | 2 | 143.75 |
| L | N | 9 | 8 | 8 | 150 |
| L | P | 1 | 9 | 9 | 114 |
| L | P | 2 | 5 | 5 | 101.25 |
| L | P | 3 | 5 | 4 | 86.25 |
| L | P | 4 | 1 | 1 | 134.5 |
| L | P | 5 | 2 | 2 | 73.25 |
| L | P | 6 | 11 | 11 | 131.25 |


| L | P | 9 | 26 | 26 | 144 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L | Q | 1 | 13 | 13 | 113.25 |
| L | Q | 2 | 1 | 1 | 102.5 |
| L | Q | 3 | 6 | 1 | 90.5 |
| L | Q | 4 | 1 | 1 | 134 |
| L | Q | 6 | 24 | 24 | 129.75 |
| L | Q | 9 | 46 | 46 | 145.5 |
| L | R | 1 | 7 | 7 | 124.25 |
| L | R | 2 | 4 | 4 | 107.25 |
| L | R | 3 | 4 | 4 | 90.25 |
| L | R | 6 | 7 | 7 | 143.75 |
| L | R | 7 | 1 | 1 | 118.25 |
| L | R | 9 | 20 | 20 | 151.75 |
| L | S | 1 | 3 | 3 | 115.5 |
| L | S | 2 | 2 | 2 | 101 |
| L | S | 3 | 1 | 1 | 94.5 |
| L | S | 6 | 2 | 2 | 130.25 |
| L | S | 9 | 6 | 6 | 150.5 |
| L | T | 1 | 1 | 1 | 114.75 |
| L | T | 6 | 2 | 2 | 143.5 |
| L | T | 9 | 3 | 3 | 149 |
| L | U | 1 | 5 | 5 | 116.75 |
| L | U | 2 | 5 | 5 | 100 |
| L | U | 3 | 1 | 1 | 114.75 |
| L | U | 5 | 2 | 2 | 81.5 |
| L | U | 6 | 23 | 23 | 128.75 |
| L | U | 7 | 1 | 1 | 118.75 |
| L | U | 9 | 24 | 24 | 143.75 |
| L | V | 1 | 22 | 22 | 110 |
| L | V | 2 | 11 | 11 | 97.25 |
| L | V | 6 | 39 | 39 | 127.5 |
| L | V | 9 | 74 | 74 | 139.25 |
| L | W | 1 | 33 | 33 | 109 |
| L | W | 2 | 55 | 55 | 92 |
| L | W | 3 | 1 | 1 | 89.5 |
| L | W | 4 | 1 | 1 | 150.75 |
| L | W | 5 | 1 | 1 | 89.75 |
| L | W | 6 | 150 | 150 | 124 |
| L | W | 9 | 106 | 106 | 136.5 |
| L | X | 2 | 1 | 1 | 102.75 |
| L | X | 9 | 7 | 7 | 140 |
| L | Y | 1 | 3 | 3 | 127.75 |
| L | Y | 3 | 1 | 1 | 116.75 |


| L | Y | 4 | 1 | 1 | 149.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| L | Y | 6 | 2 | 2 | 149.75 |
| L | Y | 9 | 3 | 3 | 153.5 |
| L | Z | 1 | 50 | 50 | 105.75 |
| L | Z | 2 | 42 | 42 | 88.75 |
| L | Z | 3 | 6 | 2 | 84.25 |
| L | Z | 4 | 4 | 4 | 120.5 |
| L | Z | 5 | 1 | 1 | 76 |
| L | Z | 6 | 115 | 115 | 122.75 |
| L | Z | 7 | 3 | 3 | 99.5 |
| L | Z | 9 | 254 | 254 | 132.25 |

(Note 1: Category, Preferences for Department/Posts of the candidates were taken from the Online verification data.

Note 2: In all the above Tables, candidates selected against Horizontal vacancies have been shown in their vertical categories as well. In certain cases all vacancies shown filled against a vertical category have been filled by horizontal category candidates and hence the highest and lowest marks shown against such rows are shown as '*’ as the same are available against the respective vertical category.

Note 3: There will be a combined Select List for DEO and LDC).
6. Details of Preference Codes in which allocation has been made for the posts of DEO and LDC are as under :

## DEO - Preferences

A. Comptroller \& Auditor General of India
B. Controller General of Account

## LDC - Preferences

A. AFHQ
B. BPR\&D
C. CABINET SECRETARIAT
D. CAT
E. CBI
F. CENTRAL BUREAU OF NARCOTICS
G. CENTRAL HINDI DIRECTORATE, M/O HRD
H. CENTRAL VIGILANCE COMMISSION
I. Controller General of Account
J. Controller General of Defence Account
K. Customs, Excise \& Service Tax Appellate Tribunal
L. D/o Electronics and Information Technology
M. Department of Telecommunications
N. D/o Enforcement, Department of Revenue
O. D/o Food and Public Distribution
P. Election Commission
Q. INTELLIGENCE BUREAU

| R. | Ministry of External Affairs |
| :--- | :--- |
| S. | Ministry of Power |
| T. | M/o Environment and Forests |
| U. | M/o Health and Family Welfare |
| V. | M/o Road Transport and Highways |
| W. | M/o Urban Development |
| X. | National Informatics Centre |
| Y. | President's Secretariat |
| Z. | Any Other Departments <br> (Further allocation of the candidates selected for post of LDC against |
|  | Preference Code Z to various departments will be made separately). |

7. Total 67 candidates (DEO-30, LDC-37) whose candidature has been kept as provisional by concerned Regional Offices are also included in the Select list. Concerned RDs/DDs will check the eligibility of such candidate thoroughly before nomination. Result of 1 candidate - Sandeep Kumar (Roll No. 2201379783) who has been selected for the post of LDC is withheld in view of Hon'ble CAT (PB), New Delhi order dated 28.04.2014 in O.A. No. 1429/2014.
8. The above said List are provisional and subject to the recommended candidates fulfilling all the eligibility conditions prescribed for the respective post in the Notice of Examination and also subject to thorough verification of their identity with reference to their photographs, signatures, handwritings, etc., on the application forms, admission certificates, etc. The candidature of the candidates is liable to be cancelled by respective Regional Directors in case candidates found not fulfilling the eligibility conditions or any other genuine reasons. Further if any candidate is found to have indulged in fraud, copying or any other malpractice, his/her candidature is liable to be cancelled in addition to his debarment from appearing in the future examinations conducted by Staff Selection Commission.
9. For candidates belonging to reserved categories for whom certain percentage of vacancies are reserved as per Government Policy, the category status is indicated against their Roll Numbers. It is important to note that some of these candidates have been declared qualified only for the category mentioned against their Roll Numbers. If any candidate does not actually belong to the category mentioned against his/her name, he/she may not be eligible to be included in the list. It is, therefore, in the interest of the candidates concerned to immediately contact the respective Regional Office of the Commission in all such cases where they do not belong to the category shown against their Roll Numbers.
10. Detailed result of this examination is available on SSC's Website: http://ssc.nic.in. Marks of qualified and non-qualified candidates with details of their options, preferences, mistakes in skill test etc. will be placed on the website shortly.
